

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States-Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/603,390 | 06/23/2000 | August Hochenberger | P/3331-132 | 1103 |
| 7: | 590 01/27/2004 | EXAMINER | | |
| Steven I. Wei | | WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R | | |
| Dickstein Shap | iro Morin & Oshinsky LLP | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 41st Floor | i the Americas | | FAFER NUMBER | |
| New York, NY 10036-2714 | | | 3624 | - · |
| 1.011 10111, 111 | 10000 2,11 | | DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | ļ | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|
| → | Office Assistant Comments | | 09/603,390 | | HOCHENBERGER | ₹ ET AL. | | | |
| 3 1 | Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Steven R. Wasylchak | | 3624 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply | nication appe | ears on the cover sheet w | vith the c | orrespondence add | dress | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN INSIGNS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum sere to reply within the set or extended period for reple ply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply statutory period will y will, by statute, o | 6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A | reply be tim irty (30) days NTHS from t ABANDONED | ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | : mmunication. | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) fil | ed on Nov. 2 | <u>24, 2004</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This a | ction is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restr | iction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| - | The specification is objected to by t | | | | | | | | |
| 10)🛛 | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <i>Nov. 24, 2004</i> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| • | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority | y documents | have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation | of the priori | ty documents have beer (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | n receive | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| 13)∭ A si 3` | see the attached detailed Office acti cknowledgment is made of a claim nce a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. | for domestic ed in the first | priority under 35 U.S.C sentence of the specific | . § 119(e cation or | e) (to a provisional in an Application l | | | | |
| | The translation of the foreign la | | | | | :6: - | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | DTO 049) | | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | | | atent Application (PTO | - 102) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/603,390

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

- 1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment dated Nov.21, 2003.
- 2. The Official Notice used is capable of instant and unquestionable demonstration as being well-known by the application of 2 dictionaries, which are capable of instant and unquestionable demonstration as being well-known in the business art and were used as explicit evidence in the explanation of the applied Official Notice. Applicant has chosen not to mention the evidence of dictionaries and the cross trading definition found therein. No reason is given for this omission. Examiner respectively requests the Applicant to fully address the relevance of the dictionaries' definitions of cross trading, which Examiner sees as being of *paramount* importance, with respect to the claims which were rejected by Official Notice and cross trading.
- 3. In re Eynde is materially factually distinct such that its holding does not apply.
- 4. To argue that "further trades" in the context of an anonymous trading system such as the New York Stock Exchange or NASDAQ are not well known is to ignore the voluminous stock transactions on the various stock exchanges for at least decades.

 Applicant will make no such admission.
- 5. No matter what trading system is chosen, including Applicant's, ultimately any original anonymity between the seller and buyer is eliminated and their identities are ultimately known by a third party or third parties to the transaction upon the settlement of accounts as required for the consummation of the transaction. Therefore, Togher et al, which fits this description as well, would apply to one of ordinary skill in the business art.

Application/Control Number: 09/603,390

Art Unit: 3624

On page 8, "substantially the same price" alters the meaning of "same price" in 6.

claim 11. Explanation is required.

Objections to the formal drawing that are to be corrected: 7.

-fig 1, 2, 4, etc. have trading agent as 10 for each agent implying they are the same.

Differentiating the elements is required if they are not identical trading agents as was

done for brokers in the figures.

-fig 1,2,3, etc. should have bi-directional arrows where applicable to clarify enablement

where two way communication/path exist so as to distinguish this from one way

communication/path.

-eliminate 15, 20 on the amended fig 13.

This action is NON-FINAL. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Wasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 3624 is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Steven Wasylchak

1/22/04

Page 3